



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,022	08/29/2006	Per Muskos	HT-126	4769

7590 10/01/2007
Mark P Stone
25 Third Street
4th Floor
Stamford, CT 06905

EXAMINER

PATEL, KIRAN B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3612

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/01/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/591,022

Applicant(s)

MUSKOS, PER

Examiner

Kiran B. Patel

Art Unit

3612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Non-Final Rejection (9/25/07)

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "vertically off-set fastened in the crash boxes which have greater vertical extension than the bumper beam characters in that the portions of the crash boxes that are not covered by the bumper beam extend horizontally to a position within ten mm from the front end of the bumper beam" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

It is not clear is what is being outlined in the specification by the following "The crash boxes are dimensioned not to begin to deform until the bumper beam has been deformed. They are shown as having a higher cross section height than the bumper beam has at its fastening portions. The portions of the crash boxes that are not covered by the bumper beam extend, as shown, to the front end of the bumper beam profile and these portions will take up crash forces when the bumper beam begins to deform or has been deformed. As a result, the tendency of the crash boxes to bend and to rotate the system downwards will be counteracted. In this way, the deformation properties will be good even though the bumper beam is vertically off-set the crash boxes. Preferably, the crash boxes extend substantially to the front end of the bumper beam profile as shown, but the desired function will be there also if they end within 10 mm behind the front end of the bumper beam or possibly even if they pass the bumper beam up to 10 mm.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet

submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-2, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims, elected for prosecution, are confusing and are not clear because reference character "17" has been used to designate "crash box, upper part and half", which fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims, elected for prosecution, are confusing and are not clear because reference character "16" has been used to designate both "lower part and half", which fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Above are just few examples of the discrepancies and therefore the Applicant is requested to go through the application and ensure that the claimed matter has been described in the specification and shown in the drawing in such a way as to convey to one skilled in the art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Correction is required.

3. Claims are confusing and are not clear because claimed limitations, (Claim 1, vertically off-set fastened in the crash boxes which have greater vertical extension than the bumper beam characterized in that the portions of the crash boxes that are not covered by the bumper beam extend horizontally to a position within ten mm from the front end of the bumper beam;), lack support in the

specification (also not clearly shown/labeled in the figures) and therefore fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These limitations must have support in the specification (also clearly shown/labeled in the figures) or the limitations canceled from the claims. Above are just few examples of the discrepancies and therefore the Applicant is requested to go through the whole application and ensure that the claimed matter has been described in the specification (also clearly shown/labeled in the drawing) in such a way as to convey to one skilled in the art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-2, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muller et al. (6,929,297).

Regarding Claims 1-2, Muller et al. (6,929,297) discloses the invention as claimed to include a bumper arrangement Fig 1-12 for a vehicle comprising a bumper beam 1 fastened in two crash boxes 6 adapted to be fastened to the vehicle, wherein the bumper beam 1 is vertically off-set fastened in the crash boxes 6, which have greater vertical extension than the bumper beam characterized in that the portions of the crash boxes that are not covered by the bumper beam extend horizontally to a position within ten mm from the front end of the bumper beam; characterized by a deformation trigger Fig 2 at the inner end of the crash box portion that extends towards the front end of the bumper beam.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record in attached Notice of Reference Cited (PTO-892) and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This art of record shows various features similar to the applicant's invention.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-6665. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

/Kiran B. Patel/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3612
September 25, 2007